

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/214,868	01/14/1999	HEINZ EIPEL	47114	8102	
26474	7590 09/10/2002				
KEIL & WEINKAUF			EXAMINER		
1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ALEXANDE	ALEXANDER, LYLE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743	1>	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002	" <i>"</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/214,868	EIPEL ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lyle A Alexander	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cov r sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, ension and the corresponding amount of the ded statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee are fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)					
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been con	sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:				
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: none.					
Claim(s) rejected: 13-21.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet		Li /			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743 Continuation of 10. Other: Applicants sates the cited prior art fails to teach the claimed "non-continuous" coating. The Office maintains the pending claim language is sufficiently broad to be properly read on the art of record.